The Protection of Lawful Commerce in Arms Act (PLCAA) blocks lawsuits that attempt to hold firearm and ammunition industry companies liable for the criminal actions of third parties who misuse the industry's lawful non-defective products. More specifically, this common sense law ensures that responsible and law-abiding federally licensed manufacturers and retailers of firearms and ammunition are not unjustly blamed in federal and state civil actions for "the harm caused by those who criminally or unlawfully misuse" these products that function as designed and intended.

The PLCAA was enacted in 2005 by a broad bipartisan margin in response to the dozens of frivolous lawsuits orchestrated and largely funded by gun control groups solely to put gun companies out of business based on circumstances entirely beyond their control.

Members of Congress need to hear how this crucial law is what stands between law-abiding industry members and gun control advocates that want to punish the industry for the illegal actions of criminals.

The Congressional Record shows the law was deliberately drafted to allow lawsuits where companies have violated the law. For example, then-Rep. Cliff Stearns (R-FL6) stated, “This legislation will end these coercive and undemocratic lawsuits... this legislation is very narrowly tailored to allow suits against any bad actors to proceed. It includes carefully crafted exceptions to allow legitimate victims their day in court for cases involving defective firearms, breaches of contract, criminal behavior by a gun maker or seller, or the negligent entrustment of a firearm to an irresponsible person.”

Fact: Six exemptions in the law expressly allow suits based on knowing violations of federal or state law related to gun sales, or on traditional grounds including negligence or breach of contract. Congress specifically carved out exceptions to allow claims of negligent entrustment to proceed where allowed under state law (i.e. retailer sells a firearm to someone under age or someone visibly intoxicated who then uses the firearm to injure themself or others). The bill also allows product liability cases involving actual injuries caused by a defective firearm or criminal misconduct on the part of the company.

Myth: PLCAA shields gun companies from being sued for wrongdoings.

Suing gun companies for the criminal misuse of their products is akin to suing a hardware store if a hammer it sells is used in a murder or a car manufacturer for one of its cars being used to purposely run down someone. Without the PLCAA, such senseless claims would be allowed to proceed and while some industry defendants may be able to recoup attorney fees, litigation is an expensive and lengthy process, which could be
devastating for a manufacturer or retailer that relies upon their good reputation to maintain their business. The bigger picture must also be considered. If advocates are victorious in their baseless lawsuits against the firearm and ammunition industry, what is stopping them from expanding their litigious actions to other industries?

The recent Soto v. Bushmaster lawsuit resulted in a $73 million settlement and was agreed upon by insurers of the now-defunct Remington Outdoor Company. It is important to note that the settlement contained no admission of liability. The PLCAA did not prevent the lawsuit from going forward and the only claim allowed to proceed to discovery was brought under Connecticut’s Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA). It appears as though the gun control lobby has its new playbook and will continue attacking industry advertisements. Various states are attempting to circumvent the PLCAA by allowing unwarranted lawsuits to again chip away at the firearm and ammunition industry.

Myth: Gun companies are singled out under federal law for special treatment.

Fact: Despite political rhetoric to the contrary, the PLCAA does not grant the firearm and ammunition industry immunity from suit different than that enjoyed by other industries, including small aircraft manufacturers and vaccine makers. Instead, the PLCAA codifies common law and common sense principles to prevent baseless litigation from bankrupting an entire industry. Without these protections many of America’s most critical industries would go out of business from the time and costs of ‘innane’ lawsuits. Industries cannot and should not be held culpable for the wrongdoings of individuals who purchase their properly functioning products legally and then proceed to use them in a criminal manner.

Even under former President Obama, the Department of Justice (DOJ) has continued to defend the constitutionality of the PLCAA. In a recent brief, DOJ struck back at gun control advocates’ claims and asserted the position that the PLCAA’s “narrowly crafted limitation is not a general bar of civil actions against firearms manufacturers and sellers. The statute includes a safe harbor that allows several types of actions to go forward...” DOE further argued that in enacting the PLCAA, Congress properly exercised its legislative powers within the constraints afforded by the Commerce Clause, and that any arguments that the PLCAA commandeers state governments by forcing them to enact or implement a regulatory scheme in contravention of the 10th Amendment are wholly without merit.

Although the President is tasked with the duty to “take Care that the Laws be faithfully executed,” DOJ is not bound to intervene to defend the constitutionality of a challenged law. In recent years, DOJ declined to do just that in the string of cases challenging the Defense of Marriage Act in which it intervened and sided with the plaintiffs to argue against the constitutionality of a duly enacted statute. Against this backdrop, it is clear that if former President Obama and his DOJ believed that the PLCAA was unconstitutional, that belief already would have been played out in the courts.

Whether it is providing firearms or ammunition to military, law enforcement, and law-abiding citizens or ensuring that they have access to shooting ranges for training, the firearm and ammunition industry is a critical component of our nation’s security, public safety, and economic well-being. The PLCAA protects the lawful manufacture and sale of products that provide for the exercise of the constitutionally protected, fundamental right to keep and bear arms and ensures the industry is not sued out of existence because of the criminal or unlawful misuse of these products. Despite the political rhetoric of gun control advocates, our nation’s laws should be used to punish criminals, not the lawful and legally-compliant manufacturers and retailers of the industry.